

# Portfolio Holder Decision

## Borough of North Warwickshire CPE Variation No.7

<b>Portfolio Holder</b>	<b>Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>14 April 2022</b>
	<b>Signed</b>

### 1. Decision taken

- 1.1 That the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning approves the variation order No7 as advertised

### 2. Reasons for decisions

#### 1. Croft Road and Sheepy Road, Atherstone – No Waiting at any Time

- 1.1. Following on from complaints of difficulties with vehicles parking close to the junctions of Croft Road and Sheepy Road, double yellow lines were proposed to be installed at the two junctions of Croft Road and Sheepy Road, Atherstone to ensure that the junction is kept as safe as possible.
- 1.2. The following tables detail the objections and/or comments received along with the officer recommendations.

#### Emails/letters

Total objections	1
Total comments	2

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
<b>A</b>	The restrictions do not go far enough into the junction to keep it free of cars	1
<b>B</b>	The proposals will push the vehicles elsewhere onto nearby residential roads	2
<b>C</b>	Request a residents permit scheme for Sheepy Road	1

Ref	Officer Comments
-----	------------------

<b>A</b>	These restrictions have been proposed to keep Sheepy Road, the main through road, free of obstructive parking whilst maximising the amount of space available for nearby residents. The addition of restrictions further into Croft Road would have a negative impact on the residents by reducing the amount of space available for residents to park.
<b>B</b>	It is acknowledged that these restrictions will move the existing parking into the nearby residential roads, however the primary purpose of these restrictions is to improve the safety of the junctions along Sheepy Road by removing the obstructive parking at the junctions.
<b>C</b>	A residents parking scheme is outside the scope of these proposed restrictions, however such a scheme could be considered in a subsequent consultation.

#### Recommendations

It is recommended to implement these proposals as advertised.

#### Members Comments

No comments have yet been received from the local member, if comments are received they will be forwarded on to the Portfolio Holder for consideration.

## 2. High Street, Coleshill – No Waiting at any Time

- 2.1. After the introduction of Civil Parking Enforcement in North Warwickshire, a number of requests have been received to remove the limited waiting restrictions outside of the residential properties along High Street, Coleshill between Birmingham Road and Wood Close. This will ensure that residents will
- 2.2. The following tables detail the objections and/or comments received along with the officer recommendations.

Emails/letters	
Total objections	0
Total comments	1

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
<b>A</b>	Support the proposals	1

Ref	Officer Comments
<b>A</b>	No Comment necessary

#### Recommendations

It is recommended to implement these proposals as advertised.

#### Members Comments

No comments have yet been received from the local member, if comments are received they will be forwarded on to the Portfolio Holder for consideration.

## 3. Bridge Street, Polesworth – No Waiting at any Time

- 3.1. Following on from reports of vehicles being parked in an obstructive manner along Bridge Street, Polesworth, it is proposed to extend the double yellow lines on Bridge Street,

Polesworth to cover both sides of the road and maintain the free flow of traffic along the main road.

3.2. The following tables detail the objections and/or comments received along with the officer recommendations.

Emails/letters	
Total objections	0
Total comments	1

Ref	Objections and comments received	Total number of responses containing the comment
A	The proposals will push the problem elsewhere	1
B	Request a permit scheme	1

Ref	Officer Comments
A	It is acknowledged that these restrictions will move the existing parking into the nearby residential roads, however the primary purpose of these restrictions is to improve the safety of Bridge Street by removing the obstructive parking.
B	A residents parking scheme is outside the scope of the proposals. Additionally a residents parking scheme would not be suitable for this location as it does not meet the criteria set out in Warwickshire County Councils parking policies. The properties along Rickyard Close have driveways which would be against point 2.2 (iv) given below;  <i>2.2 (iv) It should generally be demonstrated that the majority of the available kerbside parking space is regularly occupied by non-residential parkers and also that a significant number of the properties do not have parking space within the curtilage.</i>

#### Recommendations

It is recommended to implement these proposals as advertised.

#### Members Comments

No comments have yet been received from the local member, if comments are received they will be forwarded on to the Portfolio Holder for consideration.

### 3. Background information

3.1 Proposals for waiting restrictions at various locations were advertised and consulted upon in accordance with statutory procedure on the 1st September 2022, with consultation open until the 23rd September 2022.

3.2 The statutory criteria for decisions on making Traffic Regulation Orders are included as Appendix A.

3.3 Drawings showing published proposals for waiting restrictions that received objections are found in Appendix B.

3.4 A copy of the published Statement of Reasons for each scheme are found in Appendix C with the schemes that received objections have been highlighted in red.

3.5 Copies of objections and comments received are available as background information in Appendix D.

3.6 An equalities impact assessment has not been commissioned for this scheme as the implementation of these parking restrictions is not expected to have a detrimental impact to any particular demographic of the population that will be using the road.

## 4. Financial implications

4.1 All work will be carried out within the existing 2023/24 CPE budget allocations.

## 5. Environmental implications

5.1 It is anticipated that the presence of waiting restrictions would not have a significant adverse effect on air quality, with no predicted increase in traffic volumes or noise levels as a result of the schemes.

<b>Report Author</b>	Ben Davenport bendavenport@warwickshire.gov.uk,
<b>Assistant Director</b>	scotttompkins@warwickshire.gov.uk
<b>Strategic Director</b>	Strategic Director for Communities
<b>Portfolio Holder</b>	Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning

<b>Urgent matter?</b>	No
<b>Confidential or exempt?</b>	No
<b>Is the decision contrary to the budget and policy framework?</b>	No

## List of background papers

Appendix A  
Appendix B  
Appendix C  
Appendix D

## Members and officers consulted and informed

Portfolio Holder – Councillor Wallace Redford

Corporate Board – Mark Ryder

Legal – Caroline Gutteridge

Finance – Virginia Rennie

Equality – n/a

Democratic Services – Helen Barnsley

Councillors – Clarke, Chilvers, Fradgley and D’Arcy

Local Member(s): Mejar Singh, Dave Humphreys and Marian Humphreys